

## **Description**

A dread that is left from reading authors busy in the work of exposing ethical troubles of AI. The sensation, the bitter taste in our mouths, the thought:

If all of this is true, why do we want this to develop?

Less ethics more politics and even activism

Working against the realisation of ethics white washing and the 3 million fancy ethical frameworks.

## **Further information**

Beneficence is not, or should not be, a trade-off between benefit and harm. Benefits can be produced in all sorts of ways, and very often, there are costs to those benefits that are displaced to somewhere or someone else. The setup of the trade is already soaked through with vested interests. Instead, we are searching for wider meanings of beneficence, not just as temporary commercial or technological good, but as care for others, a desire for the good that is felt, rather than a calculable transaction exercise or turned into an intellectual token.

What if AI was not employed to make decisions on our credit score, but to monitor coastal erosion?

What if AI didn't keep a lone elderly person company in their house, but facilitated connections to others?

What if the model of the AI user was not the isolated, egocentric individual, but the interconnected, compassionate, community member?

There are methods for asking these questions, and when they are flexible enough, they empower voices to be heard in the arena of technological innovation.

## **Resources**

1. Amaro, R., 'Atlas of Anomalous AI' podcast, available at:  
<https://soundcloud.com/ignotabooks/atlas-of-anomalous-ai-ramon-amaro-rana-dasguptaand-yuk-hui>
2. [IsITEthical: Key Terms](#)